

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
2	City	613	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Maybe	If a current inspector left or retired, this rule could potentially require two inspectors to fill one vacancy.	No
3	City	439	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Maybe	unless the state moves current level I inspectors to a level II this would be difficult on staff to cover level I inspectors areas for level II projects	No
4	City	337	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Maybe	We are a small City where inspectors are required to do all trades and at some times there may be only one inspector at work and it would cause the commercial inspections under the current level 1 status to go uninspected for however many days. However in the 20 years i have been an inspector i can see where the expertise level is not where it needs to be to for some complex projects.	Yes
5	City	121	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Maybe	None - Jurisdiction requires level III	No
6	City	412	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Maybe		Yes
7	City	247	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Maybe	Having to add additional staff or only hire level II inspectors.	Yes
8	City	30	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Maybe	I would like to see more parity between NC and ICC certifications, but some transitional accommodations should be made for current certificate holders	Maybe
9	City	317	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Maybe		Maybe
10	City	602	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe		Yes
11	City	485	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	Smaller jurisdictions might need to have Level I valid for both residential and small commercial properties. May not have justification of expenditures required to have Level II Inspector for just few commercial properties.	Yes
12	City		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	I don't know that it would make a big difference now but may make it harder to hire an replacement later.	Maybe
13	City		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	I am the only inspector for the town it may be hard for an replacement	Maybe
14	City		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	I am the only person in my department so it may make it harder to find a replacement	Maybe
15	City		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	It may create interest in becoming a code official	Yes
16	County	213	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Maybe	If CEO's have already Certified Level I and have been performing Commercial Inspections it's not fair to restrict them to Residential only when they passed a qualification exam that contained those requirements, Our main problem as a Jurisdiction is not getting CEO's qualified level I, It is our staff being forced to wait 24 months in order to sit for a Level II Exam when there is no real benefit.	Maybe
17	County	270	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Maybe	I currently have 4 Field Inspectors plus myself we have 1 Inspector with Level II across the board so we would be able to handle he work load.	Yes
18	County	406	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Maybe		Maybe
19	County	312	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Maybe	at this time none due to our limited staff in the futurte for small jurisdictions i am certain part time level 2 and 3 inspectors would be required as through the years i have had to help local jurisdictions out	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
20	County	306	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Maybe	We are a small rural jurisdiction. The majority of the inspectors work is in the residential field at the Level 1. Occasionally we will have new commercial construction requiring Level 2 credentials. We are very limited with very few level 3 buildings for annual and new construction.	No
21	County	16904	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Maybe	None	No
22	County	109	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	Pay scale would be affected on how we pay by levels	Yes
23	County	314	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	It would put more on upper level inspectors. I would love to see DOI certification exams limited to level one questions for a level 1 test.	Yes
24	County	14	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	None at this time	Yes
25	County	215	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	In my county it is in essence how we inspect. Level I inspectors mainly inspect residential. In small counties or municipalities with only one inspector this could prove to be very problematic.	Yes
26	County	114	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	We have 4 full time inspectors currently but not all are level 2 or above. It would therefore limit how we disperse work load.	Yes
27	County		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe		Maybe
28	County	114	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Maybe	We are a small department of 3 full time inspectors including myself. I am the only one with level 3's, the other 2 are level 1. We also have a full time fire inspector that is cross trained in the other trades to help fill in as needed. He holds level 2's. The rule change would effectively mean I would be the only one capable of commercial inspection on a full time basis.	Yes
29	Not Applicable (Inspector/Trade Assoc. or Occupational Licensing Board)	382	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Maybe	Several staff are only level 1 and would need to attain a higher level to continue. This would strengthen our requirements for hire.	Yes
30	City	133	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	As long as the new hires and inexperienced are supervised, they might be missing out on some valuable training. remember, We do not have that many certified people as we once had. This might not be the case for larger more populated jurisdictions.	Maybe
31	City	210	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	We do not have the budget to hire several qualified inspectors.	Yes
32	City	273	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No		Maybe
33	City	224	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Being a small department with (2) current Level I inspectors, this would present an issue for us being able to perform commercial inspections when myself, Chief Building Inspector with Level III, would be out of the office on vacation, etc.	Yes
34	City	366	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Many of our current projects as well as upcoming projects are commercial projects. Many of those are level 1 commercial projects. With only two inspectors for the jurisdiction, if this change is made, the jurisdiction will have a hard time keeping up with commercial inspection demand due to multiple level 1 certificates held by one of the inspectors.	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
35	City	397	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Cause shortage of staff.	No
36	City	249	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	We are a small Department with 4 field inspectors. Some days more commercial comes in than residential. This would create a burden for the Level I or II because one may have all or most of the work load that day depending if residential or commercial inspections came in.	Yes
37	City	3182	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Will are already have issue keeping inspector, Why would we limit then at this point.	No
38	City		County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No		No
39	City	357	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No	As a small inspections department we need our Level I building inspectors to be able to do inspections for small commercial projects. Moving commercial to a level II certification would require us to hire additional staff. We recommend that the state certifications stay as they are now.	Yes
40	City	95	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No	It would not allow a Level I to gain required inspection of Commercial buildings to move to become a level II official. They need the experience to move ahead with their careers.	Yes
41	City	434	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No	Depends on commercial/residential job ratio. When commercial projects outweigh residential this would limit the workload for a Level 1 inspector. Over the years the ratio has changed multiple times and these changes would vary the impact.	Yes
42	City	82	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No	Mostly having the staff to do the inspections for residential and small commercial now helps us to be more efficient and use the upper level verified insecurities I for the more complex jobs. Splitting the levels Wei require more staff and/or staff with higher certifications. The current setup allows inspectors to grow through experience.	No
43	City	159	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	We cannot find certified inspectors to employ now. This would back up inspections due to even more restrictions and if you don't let the level I guys get exp. on small commercial how are they ever going to learn. On a side note there needs to be more instructors to offer more classes.	Yes
44	City	148	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Level 1 should be able to do small commercial and business.	No
45	City	412	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Some accommodation would be made to accelerate certification processes so all permit/inspection services can be provided in a timely manner.	Yes
46	City	167	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	None right now all inspectors 2 or higher, it would effect future level one hires. We are a small town and have several small businesses.	No
47	City	415	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	The inspectors in the City of Washington have quite a few years of service in the system, 20 +, so when the time comes to hire new inspectors it may be difficult to find any with Level II or III certifications. This means the City would have to try to hire ahead of the curve or retain retired inspectors while the new level I inspector got his certification to perform inspections on small commercial project. This would cause a financial burden on the jurisdictions and most councils already think we spend too much money on a department that is very controversial. I think a lot of small commercial projects are easier to inspect than some residential projects.	Yes

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
48	City	222	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	As a small office, this would reduce and hamper the capabilities of our level 1 inspector thus requiring them to obtain another left of certification. 4 additional classes, testing... adding undue expense that is already covered by a level 1 certification.	Yes
49	City		County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	It would force Inspectors to change jurisdiction, in order to advance in levels for pay	Yes
50	City	375	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	only have 1 full time inspector	No
51	City	24	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Would further limit available inspectors who are able to inspect small commercial projects that level I experience and annual CE would qualify them to competently perform inspections.	No
52	City	425	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Don't see any impact on this jurisdiction	Maybe
53	City	319	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Not much we have limited commercial	No
54	City	422	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	I have 3 inspectors who work with me. I handle most of the larger Commercial or Industrial inspections. The remainder of the inspections are divided among the other inspectors. A lot of our inspections are single family additions, alterations and new constructions, but we do a lot of small commercial projects involving renovations to accommodate new tenants moving into existing buildings. Many of these are well within the capabilities of a Level 1 inspector. Conversely, some of the new residences are so large and complex that some Commercial experience is necessary. I think it would be an inefficient use of personnel to require a Level 2 or 3 certification for the small scale Commercial projects. We are experiencing very rapid growth here in Waynesville. It is difficult to find candidates for Inspector positions so the Inspectors that I do have must have the flexibility to handle a range of tasks.	Yes
55	City	167	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	None currently but it would have an effect on future hires as we have several level one business turn over. Our inspectors are only required to have level one certifications this may change however.	No
56	City		County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	It would not have much of an impact on our jurisdiction.	Yes
57	City	345	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	I feel that new inspectors would be hindered due to zero commercial exposure for up to the first 3 years.	Yes
58	City	288	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Would need to hire additional staff for small commercial upfits etc.	Maybe
59	City	155	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	This would increase the number of inspections on level II and Level III inspectors. With the growth of construction in our jurisdiction, having the ability to schedule small commercial projects to level I inspectors is advantageous. Plus the experience gained by doing inspections on small commercial projects is extremely valuable.	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
60	City	507	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	It would decrease the availability of an inspector for our small commercial projects. This is especially true for our existing commercial structures that may renovate. I also feel this would put newly certified inspectors in a disadvantage because they would not have the exposure/training to become more competent Level 2 inspectors and take away their own marketability for future opportunities.	Maybe
61	City	6042	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	We have two inspectors and if one was out then no commercial inspections.	Yes
62	City	325	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	<p>We have difficulty finding Level 1 inspectors (even probationary level) because of our small department. Our department currently consist of 1 multi-trade inspector who also covers planning, zoning, code enforcement and local gis (zoning, water and sewer). I have been here for almost 12 years now and even before me, they had periods of 1 inspector and teetered back and forth with using county inspectors for both residential and commercial projects and occasionally lent the city inspector to the county. The majority of our projects are residential (new single family, duplex, rehabs and remodels) with a steady flow of mechanical change outs in both existing residential and commercial. We have a small surge in small commercial projects that include small strip malls or the conversion of existing buildings to strip malls. We are limited in the land that we have for development. The income from these projects does not cover the cost of salary for one inspector, let alone provide a level of income for 2 inspectors with the addition of another level. The stall in salary combined with the demands for additional duties does not allow incentive nor time to attend Level II classes (especially because the classes require travel and thus additional time away from other duties). I have received additional certifications in planning and zoning that I can not maintain because of our restrictions on travel that prevents me from addressing issues in other areas (zoning, code enforcement and planning issues).</p> <p>We have an interlocal agreement with the county to provide inspection for all building projects over Level 1 (Unilever, Butterball and Burlington) and giving all commercial development to them could significantly overwhelm their small staff, as well. While it would provide a clear line of distinction between inspection levels, If this were to become a required change, it would mean that our community would lose it's local inspection department and the county would become responsible for all the inspections.</p> <p>I personally feel that it may be a good idea for the future of inspections; however, I have questions concerning how it will impact us through the transition period: What happens to all the inspectors that have years of inspection on small (level 1 commercial)? Will they be "grandfathered" or given a "special" level designation that allows them to continue small commercial projects? Is this a move toward</p>	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
63	City	341	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	The City could be required to hire another inspector just to perform the limited amount of inspections on SFD projects vs. the amount of commercial projects, especially since I am at retirement age.. It would be dependent on the new hire's qualifications I reckon. Most of the City's projects are of commercial in nature; very few residential, although with the economy right now, it has been very interesting to inspect SFDs again.	Yes
64	City	8589	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	NEED TO BECOME LEVEL II OR TOWN WILL HAVE TO HIRE LEVEL II FOR COMMERCIAL	No
65	City	6042	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	May effect the public being able to get an inspection.	Yes
66	City	6042	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	May cause a slow down in inspections getting done, due to someone being out.	Yes
67	City	6042	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	I think it would effect the availability of timely inspections, by limiting inspectors.	Yes
68	City	374	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	None, but I could see it causing staff shortages	No
69	City	393	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	None	Yes
70	City	12454	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	None	No
71	City	665	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No		No
72	City		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No		No
73	City	143	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	Towns would have to hire level 2 inspectors for all commercial projects where now level 1s can inspection certain commercial structures. As you know it is difficult to find inspectors now and I believe this would add to the burden for small towns.	No
74	City	12454	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	None	No
75	City	74	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	No	We would have workload challenges with some commercial upfits and small commercial new construction. Presently, our level I inspectors can handle that work which frees up level II and III inspectors to focus on larger projects. This would be a relatively significant impact for us.	No
76	County	175	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	currently I have 12 inspectors that 7 of them have either level I standards or probationary certificates in one of multiple trades. it would cut my staff in half.. thus making me have to Double up on inspectors in areas, just to cover the same inspections that we are currently doing.	No
77	County	194	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	With the current staffing issues we are all experiencing the impact we would see is delay's in performing inspections. With proper training a Building Level 1 inspector shouldn't have a problem inspecting small businesses, assembly, mercantile, storage occupancies, etc. If this were to change then ALL level 1 trade certificates should be for Residential Only.	Maybe
78	County	300	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Lots of Level I commercial buildings. Also getting a Level II qualified inspector is next to impossible. We have had to train all of our staff from probationary	No
79	County	107	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	We are having issues obtaining anyone who wants a career as a building inspector. This will just hamper the process especially since a great deal are retiring out. Keep it like it is and not mess with it.	No
80	County	78	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	Would cause delays in inspections and we are swamped as it is.	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
81	County	339	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	No	We are the largest county in the state with limited staffing. It would put too much of a strain on inspectors with higher cert. levels.	No
82	County	302	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	No	The vast majority of projects fall within the Level 1 classification (Commercial projects not greater than one story). Requiring these to be Level II certified would mandate an across the board increase in certifications and more revenue to cover the increased cost associated with the advanced Certification levels. Additionally the majority of Level I Commercial projects are LESS complex than the larger Residential Dwellings, but would require an advanced certification to perform. Unlike Contractors CEOs certifications are based upon the entire building classification, meaning even very simple projects would require the advanced certification. This would place an unnecessary burden upon our resources without notable benefit to the Customers.	No
83	County	152	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	short staffed now, increasing would cause hardship on departments to fulfil level 2 when its hard to find level 1's	Yes
84	County	310	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would negatively impact training for level I inspectors on small commercial projects.	Yes
85	County	64	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Inspectors having to go into other inspectors areas to do small commercial inspections, increasing their workload.	No
86	County	347	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	I believe this proposed change will impact the number of inspector needed in a jurisdiction. New proposal will limit the level I to residential with no commercial experience.	Yes
87	County	185	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Many smaller commercial jobs, especially change of occupancies, can be handled by level I inspectors. I think this gives them a manageable amount of commercial exposure, and gets them ready to move forward into the commercial realm. It is also very difficult to find/hire level II and III inspectors, as well as find certification classes. This would put more of a burden on jurisdictions whose commercial consists mainly of small (level I) jobs.	Yes
88	County	191	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	A small department with only a limited staff and budget would put a greater strain in our department. This would also limit the training and knowledge that could otherwise be obtained by theses younger inspectors.	Maybe
89	County	307	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Would unable to complete the number of inspections that are needed to be done in a timely manner due to the newer inspectors' certification.	No
90	County	350	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would affect our office a great deal. I would say 70% of our commercial buildings fall in the level 1 category.	No
91	County	244	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would limit the availability of Inspectors. It may increase wait time for an inspection.	Yes
92	County	72	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	That would create an undue burden on the jurisdiction.	No
93	County	119	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Would have no impact.	No
94	County	99	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would hinder inspections on some small commercial structures currently level 1 classified.	No
95	County	421	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Small departments would have difficulty	Yes

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
96	County	49	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would cause a hardship on my department.	Yes
97	County	206	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	It would be tough on my department as we are a small department.	Yes
98	County	112	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	No	Abort the local 1,2,3 occupational licensing scheme. Accept the threshold standards of the broader regulatory community.	No
99	County	323	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	would require more miles to be driven instead of by area. Some inspectors could not do small commercial work that have level 1 building.	Yes
100	County	2588	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	We would have to hire more qualified inspectors. Yeah right good luck with that, we are a dying breed. And its not in the budget.	No
101	County	258	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	We only have one inspector in the County. This would require having to have another jurisdiction come in for any commercial inspections of some trades. Also, when a new inspector is hired to replace the retiring inspector, this will require the same until the new inspector is on the job long enough to obtain the level IIs.	No
102	County	8	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	NONE	Yes
103	County	77	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Small Counties struggle to hire 1 or 2 qualified multi-trade inspectors. Restricting level I to residential will only make this more difficult. Question 6 , The same for managers/supervisors.	No
104	County	34	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	We recently were without an inspector and were challenged to find a qualified inspector. If a new, small commercial structure were built during the time we have inspectors who have not yet reached Level II status, we would have to contract outside of the jurisdiction for those inspections.	No
105	County	219	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	In our County , we are a farm land county with limited large commercial buildings. With 1 inspector in a county that doesn't have the funds to hire multiple Inspectors will limit anyone with the ability to do a small commercial structure as a cafe of 1,000 sq ft or smaller.	No
106	County	22	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Not a lot of change but the Level I's need Commercial experience so there needs to be some type of shadowing experience or some way for Level I's to get commercial experience. Avery County does not get the big box stores or big commercial development that occurs in larger Municipalities or Counties. They need to be the target markets you need to address this situation with as my granddaughter says "we are little".	No
107	County	497	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Tyrrell County doesn't have large commercial buildings/projects. All commercial here is small if any.	No
108	County	9813	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Only 2 inspectors for entire county and 3 towns. Level I can do small commercial jobs.	Yes
109	County		County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	Currently, we would have to contract out non-residential work to Level 2 inspectors due to staffing levels. With the apparent shortage of inspectors, I don't see how this is a good idea.	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
110	County	17362	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	No	This would limit the exposure for new inspectors and training opportunities that come from being involved in a commercial project.	No
111	County	290	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	1. -3- level i inspectors could no longer do signs, commercial level 1 buildings etc. 2. These inspectors would lose valuable training opportunities leading up to obtaining level 2	No
112	County	218	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	having the option for level one inspectors to do small commercial projects is used by our staff (Mechanical chnageouts, irrigations, signs, etc.)withthe currect growth i feel it would hurt many jurisdictions.	Yes
113	County	321	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	I only have 2 full time inspectors (other than myself) and both are level I's or probationary level I's. This change would significantly impact our ability to address small commercial structures.	Maybe
114	County	104	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	It will require multiple inspectors to cover an inspection area . One for residential and one for small commercial. We do not have the personnel to cover this arrangement.	Maybe
115	County	104	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	since we do multiple trades it will require us to hire more inspectors	Maybe
116	County	96	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	No	The ENTIRE inspection industry is having the same challenge, "How are we going to staff the inspection department to get these inspections done?" I do not understand how the board would foresee limiting our inspectors even further is going to help. Furthermore, the commercial inspections that a level I are allowed to perform are the easiest inspections they are allowed to perform! The buildings are small. It's all designed. Presumably the plan review has been done. If the job doesn't look like the stamped plans, it is an easy turn down. The multi-story, open plan residential with girder and piggy-pack trusses, lvl beams running in six directions... all trying to get point loads to a footing are WAY harder to inspect than a 10,500ft2 Dollar General.	Maybe
117	County	38	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	We only have 2 inspectors and we can typically have small commercial jobs that a level 1 person can check. If changed it will put more work on the upper certificate holders	No
118	County	89	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	Additional costs in training, possible need and cost for additional inspector(s).	No
119	County	5883	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	I only have one level 1 inspector here now that has just started with me less than six months ago, this will not affect this jurisdiction	Yes
120	County	183	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	As for a poor county, we only have a very few level two jobs , it would hurt the progress for inspector to move up to the next level. Also a poor county the county could not hire level #2 just to do inspection for commercial building only, or level 3 .Halifax county has a hard time hiring inspector period.	Yes
121	County	133	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	There is already a shortage of qualified inspectors thru out the State. It is only expected to get worse. "To the best of my knowledge", there has not been any major issues with Level 1 inspectors performing inspections for Level 1 commercial bldgs. based on the present Qualification Board guidelines	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
122	County	22054	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	we have a small staff and a large area to cover, the inspectors have certain area they cover. if that passed inspectors would be overlapping/entering each others area, which would not be beneficial for our office.	No
123	County	444	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	Small Rural County, mostly level 1 stuff.	Yes
124	County	336	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	Being a small department ,commercial projects will not be able to be inspected if Level II inspector is not in office. (vacation, sick)	No
125	County	355	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	Limited staff we need level I inspectors to be able to do small commercial.	Yes
126	County	22054	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	No	we have a small staff and the inspectors have territories thru-out the county. if that rule was to change the inspectors would be overlapping each others areas, which would not be beneficial to our department.	No
127	Not Applicable (Inspector/Trade Assoc. or Occupational Licensing Board)	0	Not Applicable (Inspector/Trade Assoc. or Occupational Licensing Board)	No		Maybe
128	City	277	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	No change, as we are already having Level 1 only do residential and Level 2 or higher doing commercial.	Maybe
129	City	226	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	This could allow the level 1 inspector to focus on one book and understand the code better.	Maybe
130	City	490	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	This makes significantly more sense. I teach level 1 building classes, and even somewhat experienced level 1 inspectors Have a difficult time truly grasping the Building Code and keeping up with the vast amount on info in a level 1 building class. Most jurisdictions locally have level 2 and 3 inspectors, also the marketplace (or interdepartmental agreements) allows jurisdictions to find help when needed. Level 1 inspectors do not have enough knowledge of construction types, fire ratings, egress, and accessibility to competently perform commercial inspections. I would say this holds true for at least electrical and possibly all trades (except maybe fire)	Yes
131	City	267	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	Impacts would be to change the entire job description tree	Yes
132	City	127	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	None.	Yes
133	City	221	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	Would not impact our staff.	No
134	City	178	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	Would help beginners focus on residential code only at the beginning and then would allow education and experience to be gained before going into the Commercial area.	Yes
135	City	16	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	It would have a small impact on the inspections of smaller commercial projects but the inspectors are being thrown into projects that they may not have enough experience in just because the work load. It would make better inspectors of the Chief or Building Code Administrator would spend more time training Level 1 inspectors.	Yes

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
136	City	12	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	Changing the Level 1 to residential only would be more beneficial for the code officials doing residential inspections with Probationary Level 1 certifications to pass a Standard Level 1 State Exam. They are not exposed to the commercial side of inspections except during the Level 1 class and state exam which is problematic for most people. The majority of Code Officials with Level 1 Certifications are only doing residential inspections.	Maybe
137	City	18	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	Little to no impact. Our inspectors are multi-trade and new inspectors with Level 1's always begin with Residential inspections, then train and certify up to Levels II and III while they're projects and responsibilities become more complex	Yes
138	City	216	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	At present it would affect us because we have lost most of our level III multi trained inspectors to the US Military Base and to retirement. We have tried several attempts to fill these but can only get lower levels of certification that work toward increasing certifications. With the electronic testing and outsourcing the testing has created a problem for individuals trying to get certified. This means they can't pass the test for various reasons besides the obvious didn't study enough. More specific to the strictness of the testing agency, use of the computer for individuals who could do the trade work but are untrained in use of or intimidated by computers. Our ability to pay higher wages for trained inspectors has been hampered by the reduction of fees & revisions of regulations for permitting and inspection jurisdictions.	Yes
139	City	156	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	It will not immediately have any negative impact. In the future with trying to hire, you will limit the pool to those that can do small commercial standalone projects.	Yes
140	City	209	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	All in. This would greatly assist in training and certifications as the employee can focus on learning topics that relate more to residential/commercial as separate entities. Hopefully, pass rates for exams can improve so that we can retain skilled individuals for the multi-trade inspection industry.	Maybe
141	City	57	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	Not much would change in our jurisdiction. 70 percent of the inspections that we perform are residential.	Maybe
142	City	43	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	They would be unable to perform inspections on small commercial buildings. This happens to be all of the commercial buildings currently in our jurisdiction. That being said, I think the change makes it easier to hire and train individuals. The time from probationary to standard should not be three years for Residential Code only.	No
143	City	53	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	Employee availability who could obtain Level 1 while working on commercial knowledge	No
144	City	386	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	none, 95 % residential construction in our jurisdiction	Yes
145	City	44	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	Not much impact	Yes
146	City	150	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	Would not impact	Yes
147	City	33	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	With the building happening we would be able to focus more on residential and let the county handle the commercial permits	Yes
148	City	353	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	For inspector hiring and retention while learning commercial codes	No

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
149	City	208	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	Very little	Yes
150	City	275	County<250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	None , we are a small jurisdiction and should have very little impact	No
151	City	256	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	Would make the education and testing more simple. Also easier for jurisdiction to assign and complete inspections.	Yes
152	City	459	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	None	Yes
153	City	176	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Yes	Minimum impact and would make it more clear on what level 1 inspectors could inspect.	Maybe
154	City	600	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Yes	Less stress on new employees coming in and the ability to retain them.	No
155	City	144	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Yes	It would make it easier in hiring inspectors. All level 1 should be limited to residential code only.	Maybe
156	City	202	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Yes	I would be easier to get trainees certified as an inspector. One of the frustrations for new inspectors is the stress of certification. While certifications is very important, introducing and training with residential first is probably a better approach.	Yes
157	County	51	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes		Maybe
158	County	403	County <250,000 OR City<25,000	Yes	None, as we currently separate residential and commercial inspectors into two teams.	No
159	County	61	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes	It would be a positive impact for my staff as we separate our teams into Residential and Commercial anyway.	Yes
160	County	410	County<1,000,000 OR City<100,000	Yes		Maybe
161	County	256	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	Good: Encourages individuals to advance in certification to create more affordable starting level 1 hires. Not as good: Requires temporary movement and restructure of inspectors areas based on certifications. Creates temporary overlap of multi certified inspectors until all are level 2, minimum for this county under new level 1 limit. Every new level 1 hire would create an automatic overlap until level 2 can be achieved.	Yes
162	County	87	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	It would not have a significant impact. Our Level 1 inspectors rarely perform commercial inspections, even relatively simple ones. This change should have a positive impact on the Code Classes and State Cert. Exams. This will allow the applicants to focus on Residential instead of both while obtaining this Level 1 Certificate. Better quality of inspections and likely more applicants qualifying (higher passing rate).	No
163	County	260	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes		Yes
164	County	388	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	I have a couple of trade inspectors that are new to the building side of inspections, and it would help them to understand and retain the information. I have been in commercial construction over 20 years. The level I building class was way to much information with residential and commercial codes to grasp and retain. I think doing Level I residential and Level II for commercial is much better and would allow the CEO to retain the information.	Maybe

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
165	County	24286	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	Have level 1 inspectors that knows nothing about commercial	No
166	County	428	County<100,000 OR City<10,000	Yes	It would take a little longer to get certification but we still have to hold an inspector back on the jobs he does until he he is qualified to do the inspection.	Maybe
167	County	417	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	None	Yes
168	County	162	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	none	Maybe
169	County	93	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	very little impact. As we are a small county our residential is 3 to 1 over commercial	Maybe
170	County	265	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	None	Yes
171	County	265	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	none	Yes
172	County	66	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	Future hiring and succession planning	Yes
173	County	15694	County<25,000 OR City<2,500	Yes	Hire another Inspector	Yes
174	County	385	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	none, we are such a small jurisdiction, it would provide better training.	Yes
175	County	251	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	It would not have a lot of impact on daily inspection. However, I believe it would benefit new inspectors and there certification process.	Yes
176	County	359	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	New Inspectors could focus on one area of inspections	Maybe
177	County	379	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	None	Maybe
178	County		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	It would be easier to hire and retain as 95% of our permits are residential	No
179	County		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	It would help with hiring and retention as 95% of our permits are residential	No
180	County	400	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	None	Yes
181	County	7	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	The impact would be limited. Whatever the impact, I feel the benefit would be greater. Just from my career, I know that when I started 23 years ago as a probationary level I inspect, I was in no way ready to look at commercial buildings. I needed more time in the field.	Yes
182	County	131	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes		Maybe
183	County		County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	none	Yes
184	County	111	County<50,000 OR City<5,000	Yes	The majority of our permits are residential. It will be easier for a new inspector to concentrate on residential only. It will also bring things closer to in line with ICC and most other states certification programs.	No

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Select your jurisdiction type - County OR City.	Enter your COQB Jurisdiction "J" (number)	Select the ONE (1) appropriate population for your County or City jurisdiction.	Are you in favor of restricting Code Official Building Level 1 certificates to the Residential Code only and make Level 2 Commercial?	What impacts to your jurisdiction staff would you foresee if certificate rule 11 NCAC 08 .0706 was changed where Level 1 were limited to Residential and Level 2 Commercial?	Would you like to see a new Chief Building Official (CBO) designation for jurisdiction managers/supervisors that requires Level 3 standard certificates in ALL four (4) trades Building, Mechanical,...
185	County	263	County>1,000,000 OR City>100,000	Yes	If the rule would happen to change to certification structure/to the single-family area this would have a minor impact on our current operations. We could make the transition over time. If I had to guess it would take the department a year to do this type of transition. In looking at this more from a compensation perspective it would create additional limitations in hiring a recruiting/ of a new professional within this job classification. As a large operation within the region, the cost of living for this entry-level position would be negative for the department filling these positions. As you probably know Mecklenburg County medium housing is close to 366K. It's hard to hire someone at this skill level at 45- 50K as an entry-level position. They typically don't live in Mecklenburg County and have a commute outside of the county. But I can see this working for the smaller AHJs that use a model of more diversified multi-certified employees.	Maybe
186	Not Applicable (Inspector/Trade Assoc. or Occupational Licensing Board)		Not Applicable (Inspector/Trade Assoc. or Occupational Licensing Board)	Yes	N/A	No